

# A Dramatic Shift: Future and Current Challenges of Chemical Sector Air Quality Requirements

Gary Daves, P.E.

Larry Poché

# Agenda

- ▶ Chemicals of Interest
- ▶ Fenceline Monitoring
- ▶ SSM Exemptions
- ▶ Flare Provisions
- ▶ PRDs
- ▶ Maintenance Vents
- ▶ Heat Exchange/Cooling Tower Monitoring
- ▶ Implementation

# Consent Decrees, RTRs, and More

- ▶ Substantial EPA chemical sector activity over past few years and into future
- ▶ Consent decrees were leading indicator for the sector
- ▶ OLD, EMACT, and MON RTRs (final rules in 2020, compliance dates in Summer 2023)
- ▶ HON Section 114 Requests in 2022
- ▶ Chemical sector MACT RTR-related (and NSPS?) rule changes will continue to consume extensive resources

## RSR → EMACT → MON → HON....Interesting Points

- ▶ HON is more relatable to refineries than EMACT/MON
- ▶ MON and HON are organized nearly the same
- ▶ EtO bubbled up as a precedent setting issue starting with EtO sterilization facilities.
- ▶ MON was first effort to include rulemaking for sources “In Ethylene Oxide Service”
- ▶ Commercial sterilizers proposal good indication of direction for HON risk review
- ▶ Loss of SSM exemption not limited to MACT
- ▶ Loss of Force Majeure

# HON/NSPS

- ▶ 112(d)(6) technology review, 112(f)(2) risk review (ample margin of safety), or combination thereof?
- ▶ Starting with 2017 NEI
- ▶ EtO expanding to all HON affected sources
- ▶ Section 114 ICR: Facility uses, produces, or stores benzene, 1,3-butadiene, ethylene oxide, ethylene dichloride, and/or vinyl chloride
- ▶ December 16, 2022, deadline for determinations
- ▶ Final rule deadline ~15 months later (3/29/2024)

# Fenceline Monitoring (FLM) Current Status

- ▶ Started with Refinery Sector Rule (RSR)
- ▶ Methods 325A/B part of RSR regulatory package
- ▶ FLM has been a staple of chemical sector CDs
- ▶ FLM was not in OLD/EMACT/MON revisions after RSR
- ▶ However, HON is expected to have FLM provisions
- ▶ Potential challenges for EPA in developing HON FLM

# Chemicals of Interest Expanding

- ▶ Benzene
- ▶ Ethylene Oxide
- ▶ 1,3-Butadiene
- ▶ Ethylene Dichloride
- ▶ Vinyl Chloride
- ▶ Chloroprene
- ▶ Formaldehyde
- ▶ Site-Specific Compounds of Interest?

# FLM Data Now Very Public

- ▶ Consent Decrees are requiring Public Facing Websites (14 day posting versus CEDRI less frequently) Managed by Regulated Entity
- ▶ This month EPA rolled out a new website to make refinery FLM data very easily accessible



# FLM Site-Specific Monitoring Plans

- ▶ SSMP – OK for RSR; Not OK for CD
  - ❑ Co-Located Sources will be remedied for benzene
  - ❑ Offsite impacts (e.g., barges) will still be an issue
- ▶ Areas of Focus
  - ❑ Product Loading And Unloading Operations Including Releases From Incoming Barges And Ships
  - ❑ Nearby Wastewater Collection And Treatment Systems
  - ❑ Benzene containing tank leaking components
  - ❑ Consider Additional or More Frequent Monitoring To Identify Problem Areas

# Loss of Startup/Shutdown/Malfunction (SSM) Exemption

- ▶ Focus Areas are large volume vents that cannot be safely sent to flares in lieu of TO/RTO
  - ❑ High oxygen content
  - ❑ Halogenated
- ▶ Reliability of Existing Control Devices is the Primary Focus
  - ❑ Single Trip Points converted to double or triple
  - ❑ Addition of instrumentation
  - ❑ Enhanced alarms strategies
  - ❑ Identified root causes of trips that can be mitigated through MOCs

# Flare Provisions

- ▶ Covered Flares under Consent Decrees will not be greatly impacted
- ▶ MON flare requirements are only applicable to MCPUs that produces olefins or polyolefins and manufacture ethylene, propylene, polyethylene, and/or polypropylene as a product
- ▶ Many NSR permits have special conditions already included that align with typical flare monitoring provisions for NHV limits, flowrate, visible emissions, and tip velocity
- ▶ Nuanced differences between flare CD requirements and MACT flare requirements (FMP, Flare Protocol, WGMP, smokeless design capacity, RFI)

# Flare Provisions (continued)

- ▶ Flare combustion efficiency
  - ❑ Single net heating value operating limit in combustion zone  $NHV_{cz} > 270$  Btu/scf ( $> 800$  Btu/scf for multipoint ground flares)
  - ❑ Install flow rate monitors on flare vent gas, air/steam assist, supplemental gas
    - 15-minute average period
    - Monitor the composition of the flare vent gas or directly monitor the  $NHV_{vg}$
    - Allowed to use higher adjusted hydrogen heating value (1,212 Btu/scf)
- ▶ Operate with pilot flame at all times regulated material is sent to flare
- ▶ Specify smokeless design capacity and operate with no visible emissions
  - ❑ Allowed 5 minutes in any 2-hour period when regulated material sent to flare and below smokeless design capacity
  - ❑ Use video monitoring in lieu of the daily visible emissions (Method 22) observations; 3-year retention required

# Flare Provisions (continued)

- ▶ Flare Emergency Flaring Provisions
  - ❑ Operating above the smokeless capacity
  - ❑ RCA and Corrective Action requirements when flow rate exceeds the smokeless capacity
    - Visible emissions are present for more than 5 minutes in 2-hour period
- ▶ Develop a Flare Management Plan (FMP)
- ▶ Develop a Continuous Parameter Monitoring System (CPMS) Plan

# PRDs

## ▶ Straightforward if

- ❑ Fully instrumented and DCS connected site; or
- ❑ Most PRDs vented to control; or
- ❑ Distinct areas of HAPs; or
- ❑ Mostly < 5% in organic service PRDs

## ▶ Very complicated if you don't meet any of the criteria above.

- ❑ Requires a detailed P&ID review, field walk verification, process knowledge verifications, and potential sampling
- ❑ Followed by capital projects to install instrumentation, monitoring systems, and/or route to control device header

# PRDs (continued)

- ▶ Minimize the duration of atmospheric PRD releases by installing systems that detect, record time/date and immediately notify operators that a pressure release is occurring.
- ▶ Eliminate atmospheric releases by routing PRDs to control devices or employing three redundant prevention measures.
- ▶ Eliminate recurrence of atmospheric PRD releases by requiring identification of root causes and implementation of corrective actions.
  - Root cause reported and corrective actions completed or plan developed within 45 days of the release.
- ▶ There will also be an enforcement component, these release events will be a violation of the work practice:
  - Any release event determined to be caused by operator error or poor maintenance.
  - A second release event in a 3-calendar year period for the same root cause for the same equipment.
  - A third release event from a single pressure relief device in a 3-calendar year period for any reason.

# Maintenance Vents

- ▶ Differences between MACT CC (72 lbs total VOC) and EMACT/MON (50 lbs total VOC)
- ▶ Approaches
  - ❑ Sitewide Approach 1 – all maintenance venting follows safety procedure proven to meet requirements
  - ❑ Sitewide Approach 2 - all maintenance vents will follow procedure for degassing before opening for maintenance
  - ❑ Sitewide Approach 3 – all maintenance venting scenarios are below the 10% LEL, < 5 psig, < 50/72 lbs VOC, etc. based on worst case
  - ❑ Sitewide Approach 4 - Case by Case for each affected equipment based on size, design, contents, etc.
- ▶ Implementation will be greatly facilitated by integrating this process with your equipment access safety procedures; align equipment access threshold with the maintenance vent 10% LEL point.



# Maintenance Vents

1) Operator depressure/drain/purge equipment to controlled system		<b>If LEL &lt;10%</b> 3) Proceed with opening
2) Safety Department/Operator measures LEL inside equipment from a bleeder/vent		
<b>If LEL &gt;10%</b>		
3) Suspend work		
4) Safety Department notifies Environmental		
5) Environmental estimates VOC content; documents		
<b>If VOC content &lt;50/72 lbs</b>	<b>If VOC content &gt;50/72 lbs</b>	
6) Conduct risk meeting <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>a) Identify actions to be taken to mitigate hazards</li> <li>b) Env validates VOC &lt;50/72 lbs</li> <li>c) Document meeting</li> </ul>	6) Operations resumes purge to controlled system	
7) Obtain management approval	7) Recycle back to step #2: Safety Department/Operator rechecks LEL	
8) Proceed with opening		

# Heat Exchanger/Cooling Tower Monitoring

- ▶ El Paso method will be required to monitor for HES leaks at cooling tower return line or representative riser, or at select heat exchanger lines
- ▶ Existing sources
  - Initially 6 months of monthly monitoring, then quarterly
- ▶ New sources
  - Initially 6 months of weekly monitoring, then monthly
- ▶ Leak definition: > 6.2 ppmv (as methane in stripping gas)
- ▶ Repair requirements
  - Leaks less than 62 ppmv – repairs required within 45 days or less
    - Can be delayed if unit shutdowns are required
    - If greater than 62 ppmv during subsequent monitoring, repair required in 30 days
  - Leaks greater than 62 ppmv require repair within 45 days or less
    - No delay of repair available

# Wrap Up

- ▶ Get started as early as possible AND get personnel outside of the environmental department involved early.
  - ❑ Evaluation of existing flare instrumentation – not by environmental
  - ❑ Determine adequacy of supplemental fuel gas supply
  - ❑ Turnaround schedule for flare work?
  - ❑ Long delivery for new equipment/instrumentation
- ▶ Improve/refresh applicability determinations and documentation
- ▶ Environmental needs to stay engaged, set milestones, then measure and report against those milestones

# Questions?

Gary Daves

[gary.daves@tricordconsulting.com](mailto:gary.daves@tricordconsulting.com)

Larry Poché

[larry.poché@tricordconsulting.com](mailto:larry.poché@tricordconsulting.com)

## Work Practices - Decoking Ethylene Cracking Furnace

- ▶ Conduct Daily Inspections For Flame Impingement During Normal Operations.
- ▶ Conduct At Least Two Of The Following Other Work Practices. ;
  - ❑ Monitor CO<sub>2</sub> concentration at the radiant tube outlet during decoking,
  - ❑ Monitor temperature at the radiant tube outlet during decoking,
  - ❑ Purge the radiant tubes with steam after decoking,
  - ❑ Apply a coating material to radiant tube interior after decoking.